FILED 1 **SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN BENITO** 2 JUL 2 2 2021 3 Mary B. Medland 4 DEPUTY CLERK 5 6 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BENITO 9 10 TOMAS MARTINEZ, an individual on Case No.: CU-19-00120 behalf of himself and all others similarly 11 situated. **CLASS ACTION** 12 Plaintiff, Assigned for All Purposes To: Hon. J. Omar Rodriguez 13 Dept.: 1 vs. 14 VILLA & SONS ENTERPRISES, INC., a [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING California corporation; d/b/a VILLA & FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS SONS BUILDER SERVICES; and DOES 1 ACTION SETTLEMENT, AWARD OF through 50, inclusive, FEES, COSTS, AND ENHANCEMENT 16 **AWARD** Defendants. 17 July 22, 2021 Date: 18 Time: 1:30 p.m. Dept.: 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 -1-[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT,

This matter having come before the Court on July 22, 2021 for a scheduled Final Hearing and entry of an Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Stipulation ("Final Order") consistent with the Court's March 4, 2021 Preliminary Approval Order, and as set forth in the Parties' Class Action Settlement Agreement ("Settlement Agreement" or "Settlement"), and due and adequate notice having been given to Class Members as required by the Preliminary Approval Order, and the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings, and having received no objections to approval of the Settlement, and determining that the Settlement is fair, adequate and reasonable, and otherwise being fully informed and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. This Final Order incorporates the Settlement Agreement. Unless otherwise provided, all capitalized terms used in this Final Order shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement Agreement. For purposes of this Final Order and the accompanying Judgment, the term "Defendant" means Villa & Sons Enterprises, Inc. d/b/a Villa & Sons Builder Services.
- 2. Consistent with the definitions provided in the Settlement Agreement, the Class consists of all non-exempt employees who worked for Villa & Sons Enterprises, Inc. d/b/a Villa & Sons Builder Services or its predecessors in the state of California during the Class Period. [The following individuals have excluded themselves from the Settlement and, therefore, are not part of the Plaintiff Class: list individuals.]
- 3. Because adequate notice has been disseminated and all potential Class Members have been given an opportunity to opt out of the Actions, the Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this proceeding and over all parties to this proceeding, including all Class Members. In addition, the Court has personal jurisdiction over all Class Members with respect to the Actions and the Settlement.
- 4. Distribution of the Class Notice directed to the Class Members, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement, has been completed in conformity with the Preliminary Approval Order, including individual notice to all Class Members who could be identified through reasonable effort, and the best notice practicable under the circumstances. The Class Notice provided due

and adequate notice of the proceedings and of the matters set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order, including the proposed Settlement as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and fully satisfied the requirements of California law, the California and United States Constitutions (including the Due Process Clause), the requirements of Code of Civil Procedure § 382 and California Rules of Court rule 3.766, and any other applicable law. The Class Notice also provided due and adequate notice to Class Members of their right to exclude themselves from the Settlement, as well as their right to object to any aspect of the proposed Settlement.

- 5. For the reasons set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order and in the transcript of the proceedings of the preliminary approval hearing, which are adopted and incorporated by reference, the Court finds the Settlement was entered into in good faith and further finds that the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate, and in the best interests of each of the parties and the Participating Class Members. Named Plaintiff has satisfied the standards and applicable requirements for final approval of this class action Settlement under California law, including the provisions of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382, California Rule of Court 3.769, and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, approved for use by the California state courts in *Vasquez v. Superior Court*, 4 Cal. 3d 800, 821 (1971).
- 6. The Court approves the Settlement as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and finds that the Settlement is, in all respects, fair, adequate, and reasonable, and directs the Parties to effectuate the Settlement according to the terms outlined in the Settlement Agreement. The Court finds that the Settlement was reached as a result of intensive, serious, and non-collusive arms-length negotiations. In granting final approval of the Settlement Agreement, the Court considered the nature of the claims, the amounts and kinds of benefits paid in settlement, the allocation of settlement proceeds among the Participating Class Members, and the fact that a settlement represents a compromise of the parties' respective positions rather than the result of a finding of liability at trial. Additionally, the Court finds that the terms of the Settlement Agreement had no obvious deficiencies and did not improperly grant preferential treatment to any individual Class Member. Accordingly, the Court finds that the Settlement Agreement was entered into in good faith. The Court makes final its earlier provisional certification of the Class,

as set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order.

7. As of the date of this Final Order, the Named Plaintiff and all Participating Class Members shall be bound by the releases set forth in the Settlement Agreement. Except as to such rights or claims that may be created by the Settlement, all Class Members as of the date of this Final Order who did not timely opt-out are forever barred and enjoined from prosecuting or seeking to reopen the Settled Claims, and any other claims released by the Settlement Agreement, against the Released Parties.

- 8. Neither the Settlement nor any of the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement are admissions by Defendant, or any of the other Released Parties, of liability on any of the allegations alleged in the Actions, nor is this Final Order a finding of the validity of any claims in the Actions, or of any wrongdoing by Defendant or any of the other Released Parties.
- 9. The Court confirms David Yeremian & Associates, Inc. and United Employees Law Group, P.C. as Class Counsel, and finds that Class Counsel has adequately represented the Class for purposes of entering into and implementing the Settlement.
- 10. The Court finds the \$140,000 Gross Settlement Amount provided for under the Settlement to be fair and reasonable. Defendant is required to make all payments necessary to fund the Settlement in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- argument set forth in Class Counsel's application, an award of attorneys' fees in the amount of \$46,662 and for costs and expenses in the amount of \$9,673.36) as final payment for and complete satisfaction of any and all attorneys' fees and costs incurred by and/or owed to Class Counsel is hereby granted. The Court finds that Class Counsel's request falls within the range of reasonableness and that the result achieved justifies the award and that the requested expenses were reasonably incurred. The payment of fees and costs to Class Counsel shall be made from the Gross Settlement Amount in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement. The Court shall examine the final accounting at a Compliance Hearing set on Febluary 24, 2022 (Note: This will be no sooner than 190 days after the settlement becomes final, as the checks will be valid at least 180 days, plus a reasonable number of days for administration of the

13. The Court further approves the payment of \$\(\frac{\mathcal{H}}{\text{, 060.60}}\) to CPT Group, Inc. to cover the costs of administration as provided for in the Settlement Agreement. The payment authorized by this paragraph shall be made in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

14. The Court finds the settlement payments from the Net Settlement Amount provided for under the Settlement Agreement to be fair and reasonable. Accordingly, the Court approves and orders the calculations and the payments to be made and administered to the Participating Class Members in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement. The settlement payments authorized by this paragraph shall be made in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement. It is also ordered that, after 180 days from the date of distribution of settlement funds to the Class, the funds from any uncashed and voided checks will be tendered to the Community Food Bank of San Benito County.

15. Paragraphs 10-16 of this Final Order cover all settlement payments, claims for attorneys' fees and expenses, costs or disbursements incurred by Class Counsel or any other counsel representing Named Plaintiff or other Class Members, or incurred by Named Plaintiff or the Class Members, or any of them, in connection with or related in any manner to the Actions, the Settlement, the administration of the Settlement, and the Settled Claims. Defendant shall not be required to pay any additional amounts in connection with the Settlement other than those amounts specifically set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

16. If the Settlement does not become final and effective in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, then this Final Order and all orders entered in connection with the Final Order, including the accompanying Judgment, shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated.

17. Without affecting the finality of the Settlement, this Order, or accompanying Judgment, this Court shall, pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.769(h), retain exclusive and

11

12

13 14

16

15

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

///

///

27

28 1//

continuing jurisdiction over the above-captioned action and the Parties, including all Participating Class Members, relating to the Actions and the administration, consummation, enforcement and interpretation of the Settlement Agreement, this Final Order, and for any other necessary purpose.

- The terms of the Settlement Agreement and this Final Order are binding on the 18. Named Plaintiff and all other Participating Class Members and Aggrieved Employees, as well as their heirs, executors and administrators, successors and assigns, and those terms shall have res judicata and other preclusive effect in all pending and future claims, lawsuits or other proceedings maintained by or on behalf of any such persons, to the extent those claims, lawsuits or other proceedings assert Settled Claims, whether known or unknown, as set forth in Paragraphs 37 through 39 of the Settlement Agreement.
- 19. Neither this Final Order nor the Settlement Agreement (nor any other document referred to in this Final Order, nor any action taken to carry out this Final Order) is, may be construed as, or may be used as, an admission or concession by or against the Defendant or the Released Parties of the validity of any claim or any actual or potential fault, wrongdoing or liability. Entering into or carrying out the Settlement Agreement, and any negotiations or proceedings related to it, shall not be construed as, or deemed evidence of, an admission or concession as to the Defendant's denials or defenses and shall not be offered or received in evidence in any action or proceeding against any party in any court, administrative agency or other tribunal for any purpose whatsoever, except as evidence of the settlement or to enforce the provisions of this Final Order and the Settlement Agreement; provided, however, that this Final Order and the Settlement Agreement may be filed in any action against or by the Defendant or the Released Parties to support a defense of res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, waiver, good-faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction, full faith and credit, or any other theory of claim preclusion, issue preclusion or similar defense or counterclaim.

1	20. There is no reason to delay the en	nforcement of this Order and the accompanying
2	Judgment.	
3		
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
5		J. Omar Rodriguez
6	Dated: JUL 2 2 2021 , 2021	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
7		JODGE OF THE SOI ERIOR COOK!
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22 23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
20		7